

Actionable intelligence to live a Free & Inspired Life



The Solari Report

February 10, 2022

Indian Lawsuits Allege Vaccine Murder with Dipali Ojha



Catherine Austin Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, it is my absolute pleasure to welcome to *The Solari Report* an attorney and advocate, a wonderful person I've gotten to know deeply, Dipali Ojha from Mumbai. I used to call it 'Moombay'.

Welcome to *The Solari Report*. Thank you so much for everything you're doing, and thank you for joining me.

Dipali Ojha: Thank you, Catherine, for inviting me. It's a great honor for me to be here today.

Fitts: You are a member of a group of attorneys called the Indian Bar Association. Would you describe your legal background, and then the attorneys who have gathered? Then tell us what the Indian Bar Association is. It's different from where we live. Our Bar Association is a different kind of organization; yours is more a private organization.

Can you explain your background, the lawyers who have come together in the Indian Bar Association, and how it got formed? Then we are going to talk about two lawsuits that you have filed that are astonishing. That is what we are here to talk about, but please give us the background.

Ojha: I'm a lawyer practicing in Mumbai at the Bombay High Court and also at our apex court, the Supreme Court, which is in Delhi. I am also part of the Indian Bar Association. We are a forum of lawyers, and our mission is to bring greater transparency and accountability in Indian judiciary.

As a part of our mission, first of all, we try to spread knowledge of law and make it simpler for the common man to understand. In this endeavor, we have published several books, the latest being *Law of Precedents*. We have made it available online on our website, www.IndianBarAssociation.in so that most of the people can download it and use it so that it can be of benefit to them. We tried to make law and the terms of law simpler. Otherwise, it's too much of a challenge for the common man to understand the legalities of law and how to apply it in their legal cases.

This is an idea that we felt very strongly about. Secondly, we analyze the

judgements that are delivered by the high court and the Supreme Court. We have experts in areas of civil law, criminal law, constitutional law, and so we do keep a watch on the latest judgements. We have write-ups or tort papers coming in.

In case we come across a case or a judgement and we see errors, we also and file a complaint against the judge who has delivered the judgement. We have all complaints uploaded on our website. The audience can look at all of those in the past, since 2014. We have uploaded all of the complaints on our website.

Fitts: So the courts and the judges know that somebody is watching?

Ojha: Certainly.

Fitts: One thing that I would like to underscore – because I think what you are doing with procedures is wonderful – is the procedures material that you’ve made available is mostly civil procedures, correct? Or is it civil and criminal?

Ojha: It’s both; it’s a combination of civil as well as criminal.

Fitts: The other thing that I wanted to stress is that some people who are not familiar with the courts and litigation sometimes don’t realize that when you file a lawsuit, obviously you want to win, but it is also possible with a lawsuit – whether you win or lose – to bring out tremendous amounts of transparency. So there is often a double agenda of trying to get something done, but trying to bring transparency to what is happening. When I listen to your interviews, I really hear that.

You ‘rocked the world’ in November with the first lawsuit. You’ve just filed a second one.

First of all, give your URL because all the material and descriptions are on your website. Please give us the URL for the Indian Bar Association first.

Ojha: I’ll take you briefly through both of the cases that we have filed. The first was November 25th. This was filed by a mother of a 23-year-old man who died immediately – within hours – of his first dose of the Covishield vaccine.

Covishield vaccine is what is referred to as 'AstraZeneca' in other countries.

Why did he go for this vaccine? Number one, he believed what the government was telling everyone; the vaccines were safe and the vaccines were the only solution that could save a person from contracting COVID. Secondly, he was compelled to go for this vaccine because he had to travel by railways to his place of work, and he was the sole earning member of his family. He had to travel by railways, and there had been a circular issued by the state of Maharashtra. This circular, which was issued mid-July 2021, says that only vaccinated people would be allowed to travel by railways. The railways are a very popular mode of transport in Mumbai, and many travelers use it as a very common commute to their places of work and back home. Unlike the private transport, they are cheap.

Because of this restriction, he could not get a railway pass to board the railways and travel. So he was compelled to take the first dose of Covishield. Within three hours, he became restless and died.

When he passed away, he was alone because his mother was away from Mumbai. She was trying to contact him, but there was no response.

Fitts: When he died, he was the sole supporter of his immediate family?

Ojha: Yes, his mother is a single mother, and she had only one child, which was this 23-year-old man.

Fitts: So he lost his life, and she lost her financial security?

Ojha: Yes. When she realized that her son was not answering calls, she tried to break open the door to her relatives who were staying close to her home. She came back and realized that it was too late by then. He had passed away within a few hours of his first dose of Covishield.

We have something like a report which is prepared by the police once there is a case issued in the police station. In the local language, we call it a 'panchnama'. So that report was prepared. However, his mother tried to lodge a police complaint in the local police station, and they refused to register it.

So she wrote to higher authorities. The man passed away on September 29, 2021, and it was within a week that she tried to reach out to the authorities whom she expected would help her.

Fitts: Was there an autopsy?

Ojha: No, there wasn't an autopsy.

Fitts: But the government somewhere in here did admit that it was the vaccine that had killed him?

Ojha: The current status is that she has deferred the case to the national AEFI committee, which is Adverse Events Following Immunization'. This is a voluntary forum where a person can register their case and give the full details of the victim and what the problem is and what are the issues being faced. You can upload certain documents which are requested by the system.

Today the status is that the case has been referred to AEFI. So, we have yet to hear from AEFI committee.

If I could digress for a while, in the second case, the latest petition that we filed, we have the AEFI report that states that the doctor died due to Covishield vaccine.

The first report, the we are still awaiting.

Fitts: So, the first one was a 23-year-old young son of a single mother. The second was filed by a father of a daughter who was a young doctor. You just filed that one. Tell us about that one.

Ojha: The lady who passed away was a 32-year-old dentist, and she was also located in the state of Maharashtra. Being a frontline worker, she had to take Covishield as early as January 2021. That was when vaccines rolled out in India.

She took the first vaccine at the end of January 2021, and within a week she suffered a severe headache. She went to the doctor, and complained of it. Of course, there was a strong denial that the headache was due to the vaccine.; they

said that it was not a side effect of the vaccine.

Then her condition deteriorated to a great extent. During that time, her father returned to the Serum Institute. The Serum Institute is the manufacturer of Covishield, and the CEO was Mr. Adar Poonawalla. This particular vaccine, Covishield, is manufactured by Serum Institute in partnership with Bill Gates and the Oxford-AstraZeneca.

Fitts: Is it with the Gates Foundation or Bill Gates individually?

Ojha: It is in partnership with Bill Gates, so it is directly with Mr. Bill Gates.

During that time when she was in the hospital, they treated her for her condition, and her father, who is the petitioner in this complaint, wrote the Serum Institute and he described her condition. He tried to find out if the Serum Institute would help him find some solution. He asked them if the Serum Institute had come across a condition in such a case in the clinical trials. We have these emails, which were exchanged between the father and the Serum Institute's pharmacological vigilance team.

There was a lot of to-and-fro communication; set of email exchanges between these two. Each time, Serum Institute denied fault. They said that Covishield does not cause blood clots. The unfortunate part is that while the AEFI report came out in October 2021, it is precisely the same reason being mentioned as what caused her death.

So the Serum Institute denied vehemently that thrombocytopenia was not the reason and that Covishield did not result in her death, it just turned out the opposite and it was Covishield, and we have solid proof with us. That is the reason we have filed this petition. We have strong proof in the form of an AEFI report from the government that states that the vaccine is the cause of her death.

Fitts: One of the things that you mention in the press release is that 18 European countries have outlawed that 'injection', and I believe that some or all of them were because of the clotting.

When they denied that was known, it was obviously known.

Ojha: That is the most shocking part of it. This particular vaccine is banned by 18 European countries, and there are documented side effects. Each country has given the reason they have suspended administration of AstraZeneca shots because there have been cases of blood clotting; blood clotting was the main reason behind it.

Also, last year, on July 1, 2021, the World Health Organization issued an advisory that states that the vaccines belong to this viral vector vaccine platform, AstraZeneca and Johnson & Johnson/Janssen vaccine. So these two vaccines could possibly cause Guillain-Barré Syndrome, a form of a degenerative neurological disorder.

This advisory has also been issued. We are shocked at the fact that while we have these documents and these advisories in domain, how could a government still continue with this program of mass vaccination? It gets severe when it comes to mandates because, while we know that these vaccines are full of dangers and risks, how could they possibly be mandated on people? People are now being deprived access to public places or even threatened that they will not be able to continue on their jobs. They could not access malls or access public travel systems.

They were forced to take these vaccines. This is simply shocking, and it's beyond comprehension.

Fitts: There is a concept under most legal systems of informed consent. In other words, particularly after World War II, if you were asked or encouraged to take a medical treatment, then you have a right to full and timely disclosure of the pros and cons and risks and benefits so that you know exactly what you are getting into. As an investment advisor, I've spent plenty of time encouraging people, "If you are going to take this vaccine, and you have the following risks, it is your responsibility to get the health and disability and life insurance so that you don't bankrupt your family when you commit suicide."

All of that is about identifying, clarifying, and estimating the risks and the costs of the risks. What is clear from your description of the two filings and in the

press releases and in the coverage is that there was no informed consent here. There was no disclosure process to the two people who were killed that clarified for them what the risks were.

Ojha: You are right. Both of them were not informed, and certainly there is no document that would prove they had been informed about the possible risks and side effects. But that is the case in India throughout. We do not have any hospital or any person who has the documentation that he or she has signed or has agreed on paper and provided acceptance.

Fitts: Our experience in the G7 nations is that there are still secret ingredients – the FDA filing has a redacted section, and you can't know what that 25% is – but we also think there are other ingredients because we keep finding batches of ingredients that are not disclosed.

Part of the informed consent issue is secret ingredients. Is that also an issue in India?

Ojha: Yes, I can say that is an issue because there has not been an open disclosure of all the ingredients that go into the vaccine. There have been some RTI's here, which are similar to the Freedom of Information requests in other countries. We have RTI's (Right to Information) requests here.

People have filed the requests to get the information on all the ingredients, but as far as my knowledge and reading goes, we do not have a conclusive list. We do not have somebody who is agreeing or saying, "This is the conclusive list, and these are the ingredients, and there is nothing withheld under the name of 'trade secrets' from the vaccine manufacturers.

Fitts: So there is no informed consent.

Now let's turn to the lawsuits: Who precisely are you suing, and what are you asking for?

Ojha: In the first lawsuit filed by the man's mother in November, this particular case is a request that the mother has prayed and called in for an investigation by India's premier investigation agency. It goes by the name

Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI). She has called for a thorough CBI investigation and has requested Court to direct CBI to file a First Information Report. The First Information Report is the initial document which would trigger the further criminal investigation and proceedings. This is what she has prayed for.

Once this order comes in, it would be the prerogative of this investigation agency to see who all could be conjoined in it. So there is a union government, which is like the ministry of Health and Family Welfare, and we have the state governments. We also have the police officers because the mother had returned to the highest police officials of the state and requested their help in helping her to 'nail' the people who were responsible for her son's death.

So these are the few parties we have named as of now in the petition. Of course, as the investigation commences, there could be others. We are there to assist once the investigation starts. We could also assist and provide other entities who could possibly be complicit in this claim.

This mother had also asked for financial monetary compensation that is around \$13 million US dollars as an interim compensation and around \$135 million as a total compensation. That is what she has asked for in the first case.

Fitts: In the first case, who would have to pay that? Would it be Bill Gates, who is one of the named parties, or would it be the state or Serum or a combination?

Ojha: The request is similar in both of the petitions. The petitions are requesting compensation from the state. The high court could decide or the state could decide to recover that money from all of the guilty parties. So, it could receive it from the Serum Institute or Bill Gates, and their properties could be attached. So it's up to the government to recover it.

Fitts: So the state pays, and then the state has a right to move against the other parties.

In the second one, it's Gates, the state, and Serum. It's the same parties, correct?

Ojha: Yes, they are similar parties, but there is a bit of a difference in the second case. The father of the diseased dentist has put stress on the compensation. If you look at the conclusion of the petition, he has said that he is not looking at criminal prosecution of these people who are the respondents in the case; it has been taken up by an NGO here, the Awaken India Movement. So they will take action, and they are going to file a petition calling for criminal prosecution. This would also include charges under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code, which is punishment for the crime of committing murder.

What we are seeing right now is clearly murder in broad daylight. I would not really mince words around what it is because there is genocide happening in open daylight.

Fitts: Right, and you are very clear in your statements that this is a vaccine murder.

Ojha: Yes, of course. Had there not been these coercive mandates and some unscientific circulars issued by the state governments, both of them would have had second thoughts, especially the man who lost his life because he had hesitancy towards the vaccine. That person would have been alive today. He was a healthy man; he had no issues at all, and he had a promising life ahead.

His mother only had her son to support her, and he is 'no more'. This is because of the vaccine and the mandates that came out.

It's the same case with Dr. Sneha Lunawat, the dentist who lost her life. She believed in the government narrative that the vaccines are safe, and she – being a frontline healthcare worker – had no option. It was mandated that frontline workers and health workers would have to take the vaccine. There was no option given for them.

For them to continue working, they had to get the shots.

Fitts: One of the things that you bring up is the role of the media in the case. Tell us about that.

Ojha: Certainly. We have issued a separate legal notice to all the prominent

media houses here. Of course, we can also include others who are not so prominent or not so popular because they are running a union-like one-sided narrative. Only recently, while our hearings have commenced and the notices went to media houses regarding their criminal act of having one-sided reporting and only talking about how vaccines are safe and keeping mum over the side effects and the deaths, they have started covering prime-time at least some portion of the possible side effects.

I have come across some news on mainstream media regarding the blood clotting that Covishield might do. Also the recent news about the protests in Canada – the freedom convoy – that was covered by some of the mainstream media houses here. So that was a positive sign, but they are definitely not ‘up to the mark’. They are not covering it to the extent that they should have done, and we know the reason.

Fitts: There are three issues that I want to bring up around litigation over what is, in essence, a genocide. One is that the pharmaceutical companies in the United States have extensive liability protection. Do they have liability protection in India?

Ojha: No, that is the good part about it. We do not have that. Moderna has been given an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) here in India, but Pfizer has not been able to make it here. The reason is that we all had a meeting about the terms in the contract that Pfizer is insisting upon all countries. Some countries had no option but to agree to it. Today, that is why we see that even the contracts are not out in the open; there is a veil of secrecy around it. We see the redacted, black portion in the contracts.

Fortunately in India, we do not have indemnity to any of the vaccine manufacturers. This has been stated clearly on an affidavit by our central government. This affidavit was filed towards the end of November 2021. That is the good part.

Fitts: So, the Serum Institute has no liability protection with their contracts with Gates. Is Gates offering them an indemnification clause, making him liable?

Ojha: No, the Serum Institute also does not have any indemnity.

Fitts: Do you have access to all contracts between Gates and the Serum Institute?

Ojha: We have not gone into studying those contracts as of now because this is taking up our time, but we would certainly study one of the contracts if we get access to these documents.

Fitts: Given the public policy ramifications of what they are doing, it seems that those documents should be public. It is unconstitutional and unethical under Anglo-Saxon law for them not to be public.

Ojha: Yes, definitely. Even the clinical trials data is not public. There is a petition by another group of lawyers who have filed in the supreme court of India for more transparency and publishing the data around clinical trials and vaccine side effects.

There are a few vaccine manufacturers who have returned the clinical trials data, saying that they have no compulsion to disclose it. This is totally unacceptable because if something is being forced upon us and we have questions or we are witnessing people suffering severe side effects – casualties and disabilities – then how can one watch this happen?

Kennedy: Is taxpayers' money being used to pay for this?

Ojha: Certainly.

Fitts: There you go!

Ojha: There has been a plunder of taxpayers' money because we see that millions have been prominently given to these two manufacturers – the Serum Institute and a local homegrown biotech.

One thing that I would like to add here is that the government would continue to say that vaccines have been given for free. I would say that they are not free; taxpayers' money is going into it, and the money is being diverted. So, it's like

the taxpayers' money is being diverted to something which is not required, not essential, and is causing harm to the lives of people.

Fitts: If you kill the taxpayers and municipality, it's bad for the government. It 'eats up' plenty of taxpayers' resources.

I want to turn to another area. There has been a phenomenal recent disclosure by Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi and Dr. Michael Palmer writing up Arne Burkhardt's work, a pathologist in Germany. There was a tremendous effort when the vaccine program started to prevent autopsies, but you have a group of doctors in Germany who have done phenomenal work. They have basically proven that they knew the damage that this would do.

In other words, among other reasons, this is not a 'vaccine' because a vaccine has the intention of medicine, not of genocide. That pathology disclosure has really 'rocked the world'. It wouldn't surprise me if more than a few insurance companies in the G7 are very nervous about it.

Have you been able to access that work to help you in these two lawsuits?

Ojha: I have gone to the initial videos of Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi where he had explained how gradually blood clotting would happen in the veins and within the cells, and that over time, we would witness a massive number of deaths. So I went to that video series.

However, the latest ones show that some embalmers have found rubber-like clots after they performed the autopsies on the deceased. I have yet to go through the details of it.

Fitts: Go through the last symposium we did for Doctors of COVID Ethics; there is Dr. Bhakdi and Dr. Burkhardt and Dr. Palmer. I strongly recommend that you look at that. They have published a paper with a five-page PDF. It's very short and simple on what the pathology reports mean. I would encourage you to look at them in the hopes that it would give you even greater support for your case.

Ojha: Thank you so much. I will go through it.

Fitts: I don't know if you have any pathologists doing autopsies in India, but it would be interesting to see what has been found there that could help. Clearly, the world is watching because we have attorneys all over the world saying, "How do you stop this?"

Talk about what you have been able to do to network with attorneys around the world about what you are doing.

Ojha: We have this strategy where we are working extra hours to spread awareness among people here in my country. Most of them have been brainwashed. It was only when people realized that even after two doses of vaccines, they are being forced to wear masks, they are being forced to follow what they call 'COVID-appropriate behavior' – social distancing and not crowding anywhere. If they are on lockdowns, even these people have to stay inside. If there is a work-from-home arrangement, then they have to sit at home, and they cannot go to their place of work.

This was a particular junction where they had second thoughts about what was given to them because they were given an assurance that the vaccine would take care of everything, and they would be able to go back to their normal life, which did not happen. So, that is the reason people became a bit skeptical. Given our awareness programs, it's not only the Indian Bar Association, but we have collaborated with many NGO's here like Awaken India Movement, which is doing a phenomenal job.

There are other regional NGOs also. There are activists and lawyers and investigative journalists who have taken up the challenge to spread maximum awareness. We can see the progress when the numbers are published in newspapers regarding how many doses have been left unused. There is a stockpiling of vaccines that have not been utilized. With the numbers that they published, there are more people who have refused to go in for the second dose of vaccines.

So, we see this as an indicator as to how we are getting results. That is a good thing, but we plan to do it in a more rapid and extensive manner so that we reach the villages and the interior areas of the country. At times, people in the

interior villages are not aware of their legal rights, and they cannot stand up to the authorities.

That is where support is needed, and we have created networks within the country so that we can spread the message across it.

At least we don't have these booster doses in India.

Fitts: Wait until you see people's faces when they are told they have to take another one!

Ojha: Our Prime Minister came up with a precautionary dose. This announcement was made on December 25, 2021. He said that it would not be a 'booster dose' but a 'precautionary dose', and it is recommended only for people over the age of 60 who have comorbidities and frontline workers. So it is certainly not mandatory; it is only recommended now.

The latest news that I read recently is that after discussions with WHO, our government has come up with a position stating that India would not blindly copy other countries that have gone for booster doses because there is enough evidence that shows that boosters have not been effective.

So luckily, we see that there is awareness, and there is evidence that the pressure groups that we have created and the presentations we keep sending to our governments to look at indicates that the tide has started turning. We can see the positive results of this.

Fitts: One of my concerns from what we are seeing in Europe and in the United States is that we are watching a control grid go into place with passports, QR codes, and an all-digital financial system. It's not in place yet, but once it moves into place, it can mandate anything it wants.

In other words, I call them 'digital concentration camps'; it turns your home, your office, and your car into a digital concentration camp. If you don't do what you are told, they can mandate anything; they can mandate 50 vaccines.

If you look at some of these apps on vaccine passports, they envision eight or

more boosters. So what are you doing, if anything, to stop that control grid from going into place?

Ojha: In India, I would say that the process has begun, but it is happening at a slow pace. We had our union budget published by our finance minister. She mentioned a digital currency by our central bank that would be rolled out sometime in the financial year 2023.

I have yet to go into the details of it. Maybe soon I'll read what exactly has been announced by the finance minister, but we can certainly see this going towards the 'one nation, one currency'. So that is happening.

Regarding COVID passports in India, as of now, the process has not commenced. People here have access to a mobile application, Aarogya Setu and Co-Win, which captures all the information regarding the first dose and second dose. So, people here are being given certificates of vaccines as of now. It is not in a passport format, but some other details are being given.

However, there have been talks that there will be a unique digital health ID. Our Prime Minister has announced this, and Mr. Bill Gates had congratulated our Prime Minister on this announcement of a digital health ID mission.

It is a very preliminary stage as of now, and the details are yet to be published. The process has begun, but we will show them by 'bombarding' letters to the government not to go ahead by giving our reasons. If need be, we will once again approach people who oppose it.

Fitts: The pushback was so great against the passports here in Europe that it has now been reinvented as a 'Digital Privacy Wallet'. It's a marketing program, and they keep changing the name.

Ojha: They would announce it as something in garb of convenience or safety of assets or convenience of transacting or convenience of business, but we know that it has nothing to do with that; it's about controlling and monitoring us.

Fitts: I don't know if you've had a chance to see, but a group of people in the

UK have proceeded with filing for criminal investigations at police station after police station. They have created and can share a package, but they each can file. It's quite clever because they are literally walking into police station after police station and asking the local vaccine center to be shut down.

If you get enough of these, either filing for criminal prosecution or criminal investigations, as well as notices of liabilities to the doctors, etc., you're talking about a real movement.

Have you had a chance to look at that?

Ojha: Yes, I have been reading about one member, Mr. Sexton. I've been reading about him and Dr. Sam White, with whom I had a chance to interact. It's really bringing in a movement. There is a lot of momentum around it. Maybe that could be why we could see the mandates being taken away and why the Prime Minister, Boris Johnson, is not talking about it.

Fitts: It's one of the reasons I love what you are doing with the procedures. The more you make the procedures accessible to people, the more they can either take action or support action.

Talk about the education process as you do these lawsuits and as more and more people realize what is going on. What has worked in terms of educating people? What has worked to help people understand the risks? Give us the top tactics you've used that you have found to work for you.

Ojha: There are three to four parameters that we are working on. We assess the level of education of the group that we are targeting. If it's people with higher education, then we would talk to them about their legal rights. We would explain to them what the provisions are in our Constitution and the laws here in India. We would talk about what the sacred laws are regarding body, autonomy, and your rights to choose the line of medication because those people are receptive, and they understand. This is one group.

Secondly, there could be a group who I would call the 'fence sitters'. By this time, they are full of doubts, and they realize there is something wrong in what is happening, but they do not know exactly what is wrong. So when they approach us, we get a chance to communicate to them. We carry all the

scientific papers and the findings that we have. The volunteers explain them in the local/regional language. They clarify their inquiries and answer the questions that they might have. There we have a successful conversion from somebody who is skeptical, and now they are on our side. They know that this is what is going wrong.

A third category would be people who could be in interior areas of the countryside. Not everybody is aware of what the World Health Organization is, what GAVI is, or what Bill Gates is doing. They may not know what the medical deep state is in India. They would not know, but they are aware that some oppression is being done to kill them. They do not know the ‘nitty-gritty’ of it. So, that would be something very case-specific that we address.

However, we have seen after the vaccines have been around, deaths have started emerging. People openly write about this and discuss it on social media platforms. Then it goes viral.

The deaths and serious side effects like paralysis or loss of sight or loss of hearing and other untimely deaths of people by cardiac arrests are the things that have shaken up people. People have woken up now.

Fitts: Isn't there a history of problems with Gates-related vaccinations in India? This is not the first time they've been to this 'movie' in India.

Ojha: Yes, you are right, it is definitely not the first time. We have had unauthorized trials of HPV vaccines by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation back in 2009, and then there was a parliamentary committee constituted to investigate into what had gone wrong in these trials.

Everything was horribly wrong about it. The laws around clinical trials were flouted royally, and informed consent was not taken. The tribal girls on whom this experiment was carried on – some 14,000 tribal girls – were not even aware of what was given to them. The people who administered vaccines to these girls told them that this was coming as a ‘free medicine’, which ‘otherwise is very costly’. Secondly, they told the tribal girls that this would save them from getting serious diseases like cervical cancer. So, this is what was being told to them.

On top of that, there was an impression created that this vaccination drive, which was not a vaccination drive but a trial, was part of a national immunization program. So, even the ones who were in charge of these girls – the parents and the hostel wardens where the girls were staying – believed it. They made these girls undergo these unauthorized trials.

When this parliamentary report came out, it was published in August 2013.

Fitts: It received tremendous attention.

Ojha: Yes, there was a lot of applause from all corners of the country. There were several NGO's that were involved who decided to take this matter and get it investigated. That public pressure led to the constitution of this parliamentary committee. The report was so explosive. It is available online, and it clearly states that an investigation by CBI, our premier investigation agency, is inquiring into the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation activities and corrupt officials of ICMR (Indian Council of Medical Research). The ICMR is a premier research body, and at present, it is involved in designing the country's COVID response.

So, it was a totally explosive report, and the case also went to the Supreme Court. The last hearing happened in 2018. Unfortunately after that, we didn't hear any more about this matter.

Fitts: Have you read Kennedy's (Robert Jr.) new book on Gates and Fauci?

Ojha: I'm in the process of reading it. I have it on my Kindle, and it's an excellent book.

Fitts: I tell everybody that I'm giving it out like 'Halloween candy'. I want everybody to have a copy.

The one thing that it does show you is that these people are equal opportunity killers; they are killing children and killing people everywhere.

We have a very unifying opportunity here. Throughout my career, I find that everybody is fighting the killing in their area. They are fighting drug dealings or

fighting nuclear radiation, and they are not all looking at the governance level and realizing, “Oh, it’s a handful of people who are killing all of us.”

We have something in common here. So, I hope that the process of finally understanding how this cartel works will help us start to realize that we have a vested interest in helping each other be free, and having the disclosure we need to navigate our world.

If you look at the number of people who have been tricked here, it’s heartbreaking.

Ojha: It’s very saddening at times. When we are working on these lawsuits and creating awareness, we have this feeling inside that we could have saved these lives had our message only reached them, or had they only realized that this is what is going on. Maybe these people would have resisted, and they would be alive today. It’s really heartbreaking.

Fitts: We have an expression called ‘turtle’. It is heartbreaking, but ‘you can only do what you can do’. So, you have to be like a turtle, and you just have to keep moving forward no matter what and do what you can.

What is amazing is if you refuse to quit, life-changing things can happen.

One last question for you: Clearly, you and the fellow members of the Indian Bar Association took a big step. You were the first on the entire planet to do this that I know of. That is my impression. So for a group of attorneys to do this, that is a very big step. To go first planet-wide, what inspired you to do this?

Ojha: We came into this around May 2021. We initially started with a legal notice issued to the WHO’s chief scientist, Dr. Soumya Swaminathan. It was around her misinformation campaign on the drug ivermectin. Due to time constraints, I might not be able to discuss that in detail, but that was our first step, and the notice went viral.

Fitts: I have to say for everybody listening or reading this, that was a very important step. If you have therapies, then there is no need for a vaccination, let alone an emergency authorization of new, untested vaccinations. So, that was

very important.

Ojha: Right, and that was why it was received so widely. It went viral across the globe. I was not even on Twitter at that time, but one of my friends told me that it had gone viral, and literally, every country was aware of that notice. So, that was when we got in touch with the people and organizations like the Awaken India Movement and several other people from other states of India. They shared volumes of information. We had ‘tons’ of information.

The Awaken India Movement updated us on what had happened around the HPV vaccines. Some other group informed us about other papers and evidences and reports regarding the polio vaccination drive that was part of the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. They brought forth other aspects as well.

With that kind of dialogue, we had brainstorming sessions here. Of course, thanks to all of the brave teams of lawyers and activists that we have, and now that we have all come together, we are working in the spirit of togetherness. The combined courage helped us decide to go ahead with this since this is not the first time that Bill Gates had attacked us. It’s the time to take very strong action.

Of course, we were aware that as far as COVID is concerned, we are all global citizens in this together. It is not only about people in India, but it is throughout the world. Maybe Bill Gates is not the only person; he could be one of many people of this combat, but we have to start from somewhere. With his history in India, it was very easy for us to draft and file these petitions and initiate action against him.

We will go after every person involved. In fact, one of our NGO’s filed a complaint with the President of India in August 2021.

Fitts: Who was that?

Ojha: It is an NGO named HRST (Human Rights Security Council). I can share the complaint copy with you. It is an exhaustive complaint around 140 pages. The complainant requested for investigation around several international people who are outside India, like Dr. David Ross and Dr. Anthony Fauci. The reason is that the acts of commission and omission of these people is directly

impacting life and liberty of people in India. So definitely, they are complicit in the crimes that we are talking about.

We won't even stop at Bill Gates, but we will go after everybody who is complicit in this criminal conspiracy.

Fitts: I hate to say this, but that's a long list!

Ojha: Yes, it is a long list. That is true.

Fitts: I don't know if you realized it, but we started the Year of the Tiger, so I predict great things for you and the Indian Bar Association.

One last thing: What can we do to help? We will probably make this subscriber-only for the first week or so, but then we will take it public so that everybody can share it.

Besides publishing your efforts, what can we do to help? What can people do to help you, especially attorneys around the world?

Ojha: Currently, it's an exchange of information amongst our international colleagues. We are lawyers and activists worldwide, and as we have some things in common across all countries, there are some specific aspects. We think there is a time lag.

What we see in other countries we might not see in India now. So at times, our interaction and staying connected with international colleagues helps us be better prepared as to what is coming. So communication is the key; the more connected we stay with open and continuous communication, the more it will help.

Fitts: On the Indian Bar Association website, does it say how they should contact you?

Ojha: Yes, we have a link that has our contact information. People can put a brief message there and share their contact details, and our team will get in touch with them.

Fitts: We will put all of the links to the complaints that you have sent us on the website as well as anything else that you send. We will make sure that it all gets up.

Is there anything else that you want to describe before we finish?

Ojha: I want to thank you for your good work. Recently, I saw your speech at the protests in Europe. I saw the press conference. I was also lucky enough to hear your discourse on what the financial grid is all about. For me, it's an added advantage to know what could possibly be coming our way and what the kind of resistance is that we need to work on.

Also, I went to *The Solari Report* website, and I am profoundly grateful for the wealth of information that you have put on there. I am grateful to you and your team. Thank you so much.

Fitts: Our number one goal at *Solari* this year is to help people who have been harmed by the lies, but the next thing is that we want to stop the control grid. I don't want to see a world where suddenly, they can slap on a new mandate every day and have nothing that we can do.

Ojha: That is going to be a never-ending process. The moment one does not comply, he or she would be thrown out of the system. Then he or she would definitely be deprived of what we need for our daily lives – our private assets and our bodily rights.

The more we comply, the deeper we would get into trouble.

Fitts: Kennedy always says, "You can't comply your way out of tyranny," and I love that!

Ojha: Yes.

Fitts: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for joining us on *The Solari Report*. Thank the members of the Indian Bar Association. We are very grateful. You have a wonderful day.

Ojha: Thank you so much. Have a great day.

MODIFICATION

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

DISCLAIMER

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.